1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3	- 1 00 00	
4	21 South Fr	24 - 9:03 a.m. uit Street
5	Suite 10 Concord, NH	
6		
7	RE	: DT 23-103
8		CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATIONS HOLDINGS, INC., AND CONDOR HOLDINGS, LLC:
9		Joint Petition to Approve Transfer of Control. (Hearing on the merits)
10		
11	PRESENT:	Cmsr. Pradip K. Chattopadhyay, <i>Presiding</i> Commissioner Carleton B. Simpson
12		Sarah Fuller, Esq./ <i>PUC Legal Advisor</i>
13		Doreen Borden, Clerk
14	APPEARANCES	
15		Nancy S. Malmquist, Esq. (Downs Rachlin) Joshua D. Leckey, Esq. (Downs Rachlin)
16		(of Downs Rachlin Martin)
17		Reptg. Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc.:
18		Patrick C. McHugh, Esq. <i>(CCHI)</i> Matthew R. Johnson, Esq. <i>(Devine)</i>
19		(of Devine Millimet & Branch)
20		Reptg. New Hampshire Dept. of Energy: Marie-Helene B. Bailinson, Esq.
21		Paul B. Dexter, Esq./Director-Legal Div. Amanda Noonan, Dir./Consumer Affairs
22		(Regulatory Support Division)
23	Court Re	eporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
24		

1 2 INDEX 3 PAGE NO. 4 SUMMARY OF THE DOCKET BY CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY 5 5 DISCUSSION: MOTION FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT 7 6 DISCUSSION: JOINT EXHIBIT LIST & WITNESS LIST 9 7 8 WITNESS: MICHAEL SHULTZ 9 Direct examination by Mr. McHugh 11 Interrogatories by Cmsr. Simpson 19 10 23 Interrogatories by Cmsr. Chattopadhyay 11 WITNESS: TIMOTHY B. AUSTIN 12 26 Direct examination by Ms. Malmquist 13 30, 48 Interrogatories by Cmsr. Simpson 40 Interrogatories by Cmsr. Chattopadhyay 51 14 Redirect examination by Ms. Malmquist 15 WITNESS: AMANDA O. NOONAN 16 Direct examination by Ms. Bailinson 52 17 Interrogatories by Cmsr. Simpson 55 18 QUESTION BY CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY 57 19 (Re: Exhibit 14) 20 CLOSING STATEMENTS BY: 21 Ms. Bailinson 58 2.2 Mr. McHugh 59 63 Ms. Malmquist 23 24

1 2 EXHIBITS 3 EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. 4 1 Joint Petition to Approve premarked Transfer of Control 5 2 Prefiled Direct Testimony of premarked 6 Andrew Frey on behalf of Condor Holdings LLC ("Condor") 7 3 Attachments to the Prefiled premarked 8 Direct Testimony of Andrew Frey 9 4 Prefiled Direct Testimony of premarked Michael Shultz on behalf of 10 CCM, CCNE, and CCHI 11 5 Condor Responses to DOE Data premarked Requests 1-03, 1-04, 1-06, 12 1-07, and 1-1813 6 CCHI Responses to DOE Data premarked Requests 1-01, 1-02, 1-05, 14 1-08, 1-09, 1-10, 1-11 through 1-17, and 1-19 15 7 CCHI Responses to DOE Data premarked 16 Request Response 1-01 17 8 CCHI Supplemental Responses to premarked DOE Data Request Responses 18 1-01, 1-02, 1-05, and 1-09 [REDACTED - For PUBLIC Use] 19 9 CCHI Supplemental Responses to premarked 20 DOE Data Request Responses 1-01, 1-02, 1-05 (B), and 1-0921 {CONFIDENTIAL & PROPRIETARY} 2.2 10 Rebuttal Testimony of Michael premarked Shultz 23 24

1 2 EXHIBITS (continued) 3 EXHIBIT NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE NO. Testimony of Amanda O. Noonan 4 11 premarked on behalf of the New Hampshire 5 Department of Energy 6 12 Attachments to Testimony of premarked Amanda O. Noonan 7 [REDACTED - For PUBLIC Use] 8 13 Attachments to Testimony of premarked Amanda O. Noonan 9 {CONFIDENTIAL & PROPRIETARY} 10 Testimony of James Golden on premarked 14 behalf of Intervenor 11 International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 2320 12 15 Prefiled Direct Testimony of premarked 13 Timothy Austin on behalf of Condor Holdings, LLC 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1 PROCEEDING 2 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Good morning, everyone. I'm Commissioner Chattopadhyay, and 3 4 I'm joined today by Commissioner Simpson. 5 We are here this morning for a hearing in Docket Number DT 23-103. The authority to 6 7 convene a hearing in this matter is provided in 8 RSA Chapter 541-A, RSA 362:8, RSA 374:22-p, RSA 9 374:30, II. 10 We are considering testimony and 11 evidence concerning the proposed Petition to 12 Transfer Indirect Ownership Interests in Consolidated Communications of Northern New 13 14 England Company and Consolidated Communications 15 of Maine Company, to Condor, pursuant to RSA 16 374:30, II. 17 The Petitioners filed this Petition on 18 December 27, 2023, and requested approval of the 19 Petition pursuant to RSA 374:30, II. The 20 proposed Plan of Merger will result in Condor 21 acquiring all of the issued and outstanding 2.2 common stock of CCHI. 23 This hearing will review the Petition, 24 and focus on whether or not Condor possesses the

1 technical, managerial, and financial 2 capabilities, to ensure that the Licensees 3 continue to maintain all of the obligations of 4 incumbent local exchange carriers. They are 5 excepted local exchange carriers as set forth in 6 RSA 362:8 and RSA 374:22-p. 7 So, let's begin with the appearances. 8 Let's go to the Petitioners first. Consolidated, 9 please. 10 MR. McHUGH: Good morning, 11 Commissioners. Patrick McHugh, on behalf of 12 Consolidated Communications Holdings, Inc. With 13 me today is Attorney Matthew Johnson, of Devine, 14 Millimet & Branch, and Consolidated Communications' witness, Michael Shultz. 15 16 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Let's go to 17 Condor Holdings. 18 MS. MALMQUIST: Good morning, 19 Commissioners. My name is Nancy Malmquist. I'm 20 with Downs, Rachlin, Martin, PLLC, in Lebanon, 21 New Hampshire. I'm here today on behalf of 2.2 Condor Holdings, LLC. With me today is my fellow 23 attorney, Josh Leckey, and our witness, Timothy 24 Austin.

1 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you. Let's 2 go to Department of Energy. 3 MS. BAILINSON: Good morning, 4 Commissioners. My name is Marie-Helene 5 Bailinson. And I'm here on behalf of the 6 Department of Energy. With me is Attorney Paul 7 Dexter, who is the Director of the Legal Division, and Director Noonan, who is the 8 Director of Consumer Services for the Department. 9 10 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you. 11 All other intervenors in this matter have either withdrawn or notified the Commission 12 13 that they are not participating in today's 14 hearing. That's confirmed, right? 15 [Multiple parties indicating in the 16 affirmative.] 17 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. 18 MR. McHUGH: Correct. 19 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, we'll go to 20 preliminary procedural matters. There's a Motion for Confidential 21 2.2 Treatment filed on 15 July 2024. Can the 23 Petitioners confirm that the following documents 24 are included in their Motion for Confidentiality:

1 Material produced in discovery to the DOE, and 2 the testimony of Amanda Noonan, representing 3 NHDOE, that made reference to the confidential 4 responses provided. I just want to make sure? 5 MS. BAILINSON: Well, from the 6 Department's side, there are two confidential 7 responses, yes. I believe they are -- let me get 8 my exhibits out. Yes, Exhibit 13, or identified 9 as "Exhibit 13", we have responses to Request 10 1-02 and 1-05 (b). 11 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: That is it, 12 right? Anybody -- anything else? MR. McHUGH: Yes, Commissioner. 13 14 Exhibit 7, that has been premarked for 15 identification, has been -- I'm sorry, contains 16 confidential information, as does Exhibit 9. 17 Collectively, they are the Petitioners', mostly 18 this is Condor Holdings' confidential information 19 supplied in response to Department of Energy data 20 requests. 21 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. Is there 2.2 any objection to the Petitioners' Motions for 23 Confidential Treatment from the Department of 24 Energy?

1 MS. BAILINSON: No objection from the 2 Department. Thank you. 3 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you. 4 MR. McHUGH: No objections have been 5 filed. 6 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you. 7 There is nothing additional on those motions, right, that we should consider? 8 9 MR. McHUGH: Correct. 10 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you. The 11 final order in this matter will address the pending Motions for Confidential Treatment of 12 disclosed records. For the purpose of this 13 hearing, the records remain confidential pursuant 14 to Puc 203.08(c). 15 The parties filed an Exhibit List on 16 17 the 17th of July, 2024. There were Exhibit 1 18 through Exhibit 15. Are there any additional exhibits that the Commission should take note of? 19 20 MR. McHUGH: None on behalf of the 21 Petitioners. 2.2 MS. BAILINSON: None on behalf of the 23 Department. Thank you. CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you. 24

ĺ	
1	The parties filed a Joint Witness List,
2	and I'm going to go ahead with the swearing in.
3	I want to make sure that is the intent that the
4	Petitioners will have one panel, and DOE will go
5	next? Go ahead.
6	MS. MALMQUIST: Our plan is to have
7	Consolidated's witness appear, and then have the
8	witness for Condor Holdings appear second.
9	CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, okay. Thank
10	you.
11	So, let's first take care of the
12	swearing in. And, you know, let's start with
13	Consolidated. So, please, the witness should
14	come to the stand.
15	(Whereupon MICHAEL SHULTZ was duly
16	sworn by the Court Reporter.)
17	CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. So, you
18	should go ahead
19	MR. McHUGH: Thank you.
20	CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: for your
21	direct.
22	MICHAEL SHULTZ, SWORN
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR. McHUGH:

1	Q	Good morning, Mr. Shultz. Please state your full
2		name for the record and your place of employment?
3	А	Excuse me. Michael Shultz, S-h-u-l-t-z. I am
4		Senior Vice President of Regulatory and Public
5		Policy for Consolidated Communications. And I'm
6		based in Gibsonia, Pennsylvania.
7	Q	Can you briefly describe your job
8		responsibilities for Consolidated, Mr. Shultz?
9	A	Yes. I am responsible for federal and state
10		regulatory and government affairs, as well as
11		regulatory compliance, which includes tariffs,
12		reporting to PUCs and SEC, for all of our 21
13		states and federal.
14	Q	Can you describe your role in connection with the
15		transaction that gives rise to this docket?
16	A	Well, I am Consolidated's witness on behalf on
17		behalf of Consolidated in all of our
18		jurisdictions in which we're seeking approval.
19	Q	Do you have the exhibits that have been prefiled
20		with the Commission with you on the witness
21		stand, Mr. Shultz?
22	А	I do.
23	Q	Can you please pull out Hearing Exhibit Number 1,
24		which is the Joint Petition to Approve the

1		Transfer of Control?
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	Did you participate in the drafting of this Joint
4		Petition, Mr. Shultz?
5	А	I did.
6	Q	Are the facts and the description of the
7		transaction, as described in the Joint Petition,
8		true and accurate to the best of your knowledge?
9	А	Yes.
10	Q	Would you please summarize the transaction and
11		the nature of the approvals sought for the
12		Commission?
13	A	Consolidated and Condor Holdings, LLC, are
14		requesting the approval of the Commission the
15		direct the transfer of indirect ownership and
16		control of Consolidated's subsidiaries that
17		operate in New Hampshire, Consolidated
18		Communications of Northern New England Company,
19		LLC, and Consolidated Communications of Maine
20		Company, to Condor, pursuant to New Hampshire
21		statute, change of control at the parent entity
22		level with all of the issued and outstanding
23		common stock of Consolidated Communications
24		Holdings, Inc., being acquired by Condor.

1	Q	Can you summarize the nature of the operations
2		and business that Consolidated Communications of
3		Northern New England has in New Hampshire?
4	A	We are a voice/data provider, you know, to both
5		residential and commercial businesses throughout
6		the state.
7	Q	And Consolidated Communications of Northern New
8		England is the incumbent local exchange cower
9		I'm sorry carrier in New Hampshire, correct?
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	That's the former property of Verizon
12		Communications of Northern New England?
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	Okay. And can you just briefly summarize the
15		operations and the business that Consolidated
16		Communications of Maine has in New Hampshire?
17	A	Yes. Consolidated Communications of Maine serves
18		two exchanges, East or, excuse me, East Conway
19		and Chatham. Both of those exchanges are
20		actually served out of the Fryeburg, Maine,
21		Central Office.
22	Q	Okay. Can you please identify Hearing Exhibit
23		Number 4 that has been premarked for
24		identification?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Is that your prefiled direct testimony, dated
3		December 27th, 2023?
4	A	It is.
5	Q	And did you participate and supervise the
6		preparation of the prefiled direct testimony
7		marked as "Exhibit 4"?
8	A	I did.
9	Q	Do you have any changes, corrections, or updates
10		to that prefiled testimony?
11	А	No.
12	Q	Do you adopt the prefiled testimony and swear to
13		it under oath today?
14	А	Yes.
15	Q	Can you please identify Hearing Exhibit Number 10
16		that's been premarked for identification?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Is that your rebuttal testimony or, I'm sorry,
19		your prefiled rebuttal testimony filed on
20		June 10, 2024?
21	A	It is.
22	Q	And did you participate in the preparation and
23		supervise the preparation of your prefiled
24		rebuttal testimony?

 A I did. Q Okay. Do you have any changes, corrections, of updates to Exhibit Number 10 please? 	o r
	٦r
3 updates to Exhibit Number 10 please?	
4 A Yes. Two, actually. Regarding New Hampshire	
5 Electric Co-op, the issues that were started o	on
6 Page 5 of the Exhibit, Number 10. The NHEC	
7 confidential settlement ended the litigation,	and
8 the internal NHEC and CCI operations-based tea	ams
9 will be meeting, starting tomorrow, on all	
10 operational issues going forward.	
11 The second change is we have a	
12 settlement with Charter Communications, and th	nat
13 issue started on Page 7 of Exhibit Number 10.	
14 And the Charter settlement that we talk about	
15 several key requirements being met on to be	9
16 met post-closing: Existing operational support	rt
17 systems not changing for three years following	3
18 closing, absent at least 180 days advance not	ice;
19 no material changes to the virtual front offic	ce
20 used by the CLECs; and no transaction costs	
21 recovered through the wholesale rates; and,	
22 number five, post-closing for 24 months, no	
23 post-closing FCC forbearance petitions to be	
24 filed by Consolidated at the FCC.	

	F	
1	Q	And, in due course, will Consolidated and Charter
2		be filing with the various Commissions an updated
3		interconnection agreement for approval?
4	A	By the end of this month we will file, in the
5		states applicable, amended ICAs.
6	Q	Subject to the updates you just provided, do you
7		adopt the prefiled rebuttal testimony and swear
8		to it today under oath?
9	A	I do.
10	Q	And one final item, actually, Mr. Shultz. Can
11		you provide the Commission with an update on the
12		approvals, the regulatory approvals in the
13		various states and with the SEC, so we where
14		we know where we stand as of today please?
15	A	Sure. We have regulatory approvals in the state
16		of Colorado, Georgia, Illinois, Maine, Minnesota,
17		Pennsylvania, and Texas. We are still waiting in
18		California, they expect approval in August.
19		Kansas, the Staff has issued a Report and
20		Recommendation to Approve last week, and they
21		have approval within 30 days. So, we'll expect
22		it by mid-August. New York Vermont, Vermont
23		hearing was on July 10th, briefs are due in
24		August, we expect an order by the middle of

1 August. And New York, we expect an order in 2 August --3 [Court reporter interruption.] CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS: 4 5 Α Oh, sorry. In New York, we expect an order as 6 well, approving. 7 And, then, on the federal side, we have the Department of Justice Hart-Scott-Rodino 8 9 approval. And right now, we are at the FCC, in 10 the Team Telecom stage, which is a 120-day shot 11 clock. So, that is in progress. We are 12 targeting the end of third quarter for that to be 13 approved, pending their timelines. 14 BY MR. McHUGH: If you said it, Mr. Shultz, I apologize, I didn't 15 0 16 hear it. But is there a state that's 17 deliberating tomorrow or a decision expected 18 tomorrow? 19 Oh, I'm sorry. I included Illinois in the Α 20 approvals, but the official approval will be 21 tomorrow. It's on the Consent Agenda for 2.2 tomorrow. 23 Q Okay. And understanding that the Commission is 24 busy and has to review the record, but is there a

1 request as to when the Petitioners would request 2 the Commission to try and issue a decision in 3 this docket? 4 Α Oh, we would like it by the end of August, if 5 possible. 6 MR. McHUGH: The witness is available 7 for examination, Commissioners. Thank you, Mr. Shultz. 8 9 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: I'm just going to 10 point out that you're sort of tapering off in the 11 end. 12 WITNESS SHULTZ: Sorry. 13 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, try to swallow the mike. 14 15 [Laughter.] 16 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, let's start 17 with Condor. Do you have any cross? 18 MS. MALMQUIST: We have no questions, 19 Commissioner. 20 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you. Let's 21 go to DOE? 2.2 MS. BAILINSON: Thank you, 23 Commissioner. The Department has no questions. 24 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. Let's go

1		to Commissioner Simpson.
2		CMSR. SIMPSON: Thank you, Commissioner
3		Chattopadhyay. Nice to see you, Mr. Shultz.
4		WITNESS SHULTZ: Nice to see you.
5		CMSR. SIMPSON: Thank you for being
6		here.
7	BY C	MSR. SIMPSON:
8	Q	It sounds as if, operationally, nothing is
9		changing in New Hampshire. Is that a fair
10		assessment?
11	A	That's fair.
12	Q	And does that include, for yourself, at the
13		executive level, you will remain in your role and
14		oversight of the affiliates here in New Hampshire
15		as well?
16	A	Correct. We're management is the current
17		management is staying is staying in place.
18	Q	Okay. That's good. I know you've been
19		responsible for New Hampshire for some time now.
20		So, that's good to see that nothing is changing
21		there.
22		Could you, for our benefit, help us
23		understand the FCC approvals and the criteria
24		that they require in order to approve this
1		

1		transaction?
2	A	Certainly. FCC approval, because Searchlight is
3		more than 10 percent foreign owned, as well as
4		BCI being a foreign entity, we have to go through
5		a certain process called "Team Telecom". And
6		that is jointly with the DOJ, and they look at a
7		different set of criteria. So, we get a boatload
8		of questions related to how we're doing business,
9		who, who are the stakeholders involved with both
10		Searchlight, and as well as with BCI. And we
11		submit all those data requests.
12		And, then, if there are no further
13		questions, it goes into the 120-day review
14		period. And there still could be questions that
15		come. But, typically, that's the review process.
16		So, we expect, that started in, I want
17		to say, beginning of June. And, so, you know,
18		we're looking towards end of September timeframe.
19		And they could they could accelerate
20		it, it depends on because we've been through
21		this process two years ago
22	Q	Uh-huh.
23	A	for Searchlight. So, it's the same exact
24		process. So, it's really updating their

1		questions, and making sure there aren't
2		anything have any of their rules changed since
3		then? So, that's why we're expecting it maybe a
4		little bit sooner than the full 120 days.
5	Q	Okay. Thank you. And, then, with respect to
6		your overall corporate strategy, I know you're
7		very aggressively marketing your fiber services
8		here and elsewhere. Does this acquisition enable
9		you to continue that effort here in New Hampshire
10		and Maine, in other jurisdictions? What are
11		those benefits, from a strategic level, for
12		Consolidated?
13	A	It's huge. It allows us to continue that fiber
14		growth, further fiber penetration. It allows us
15		to work closely with towns, municipalities, to
16		help push fiber out. And, you know, we're doing
17		a successful job. We have the \$40 million New
18		Hampshire Broadband Grant. We are we'll be
19		67 percent complete this year, aggressive, but to
20		be completed in '25, which is actually a year
21		ahead of schedule.
22		We've just announced the New Hampshire
23		BMGI, I'm making sure I'm using that acronym
24		correctly, I'm not sure what it stands for, but

1 we just won a grant there for 11.8 million to hit 2 another 1,500 plus homes, which will be completed 3 by the first quarter of 2026. 4 So, it's allowing us to accelerate 5 fiber deployment in the states, and we've been 6 very aggressive in doing that. And this allows us to continue that going forward. 7 8 Q Okay. Well, as you know, there are many 9 municipalities and residents here in New 10 Hampshire that depend deeply on your fiber, but 11 also your legacy systems as well. So, you know, 12 maintaining those, and ensuring connectivity for 13 your existing base, and expanding it out as much 14 as you can, is important for New Hampshire. 15 So, I just want to emphasize that 16 point. 17 А I totally agree with you. 18 CMSR. SIMPSON: Okay. Thank you. 19 That's all I have, Commissioner Chattopadhyay. CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you, 20 21 Commissioner Simpson. 2.2 My questions are going to be 23 conceptual. 24 BY CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY:

1	Q	So, they're really what I'm understanding is
2		that the financing coming from private investors,
3		as opposed to public shareholders. You had
4		responded just a while ago not necessarily on
5		that point, but how this is enabling you to spend
6		money on fiber and all of that.
7		I want to understand, why is it so that
8		just, you know, you have to switch from public
9		shareholders, to private, to enable that?
10		That's Part 1. So, I'll let you
11		respond to that first, and then I'll have another
12		question.
13	A	So, if the Searchlight transaction didn't occur,
14		our access to capital would be less, and,
15		therefore, we would have to slow down the amount
16		of the capex that we're spending throughout all
17		of our 21-state operations.
18		So, it really, you know, the
19		transaction allows us to have access to funding
20		that we probably would not have access to if we
21		were standing alone as a publicly traded company.
22		So, it's an infusion to get us where every
23		company in the country is trying to get to, which
24		is, you know, 100 percent fiber. Now, to get to

1 100 percent requires some assistance from federal 2 and state government to get there for every company. I mean, there's some really high-priced 3 4 locations in the country. 5 So, you know, our target is 70 percent 6 plus. And, considering where we were, which is 7 probably around 5, we're now at 40, 45 percent 8 fiber deployment, or passings. So, we're making 9 great strides. And we want to continue that, and 10 get fiber to as many people as possible in our 11 footprint. 12 Q Thank you. Another conceptual question, so I 13 understand why this is useful. Are there any 14 downsides to moving from public shareholder, you 15 know, finance, to private finance? 16 And you don't have to speak 17 specifically to this transaction. I just want to 18 understand. 19 Honestly, from my perspective, no. But I'm А 20 probably not the expert of the subtle differences 21 between public and private on the financing side. 2.2 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. Thank you. 23 That's all I have. 24 WITNESS SHULTZ: Okay.

1 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, I think --2 so, we're going to go to any -- is there any 3 redirect? MR. McHUGH: No, sir. 4 5 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. Thank you. 6 I think you are released. 7 WITNESS SHULTZ: Thank you. CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: You're welcome. 8 9 I'll let you settle down, and then we'll go to 10 the next step. 11 Okay. So, I think, let's move to 12 Condor now, and please get your witness up to the 13 stand. 14 MS. MALMQUIST: Thank you. We are 15 going to bring Timothy Austin -- we are going to 16 bring Timothy Austin to the stand at this time. 17 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: And I can see, 18 Steve, you're eager to get it done. So, go 19 ahead. 20 (Whereupon TIMOTHY B. AUSTIN was duly 21 sworn by the Court Reporter.) 2.2 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: He's available 23 for direct. 24 MS. MALMQUIST: Thank you.

1		TIMOTHY AUSTIN, SWORN
2		DIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY M	S. MALMQUIST:
4	Q	Good morning. Can you please state your name for
5		the record, and your place of employment?
6	A	My name is Timothy Bennett Austin, and my place
7		of employment is Searchlight Capital Partners.
8	Q	Would you
9		CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: I'm sorry. I'm
10		going to ask you to get closer to the mike.
11		WITNESS AUSTIN: Even closer?
12		CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: You can even pull
13		it closer towards you.
14		WITNESS AUSTIN: Okay. Thank you.
15		CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you.
16	BY M	S. MALMQUIST:
17	Q	Would you briefly describe your position and your
18		role in this transaction?
19	A	Sure. I'm a Partner at Searchlight Capital
20		Partners. I help identify and source investment
21		opportunities for the firm. And, with respect to
22		Consolidated and Condor, I've been involved in
23		all of Searchlight's investment activities
24		related to this company, dating back to 2020, and

1		ever since have been involved in the most recent
2		investment that is currently pending.
3	Q	Thank you. At this time, I'd also like to
4		introduce the exhibits with Mr. Austin on the
5		stand.
6		In this matter, we have Exhibit 1,
7		which is the Joint Point to Approve the Transfer
8		of Control, Bates Numbers 001 to 013. Was this
9		exhibit prepared by representatives of
10		Consolidated and Condor Holdings, to the best of
11		your knowledge and belief?
12	A	Yes, it was.
13	Q	Do you have any changes or additions?
14	A	No, I do not.
15	Q	Is it true and correct to the best of your
16		knowledge and belief?
17	A	Yes, it is.
18	Q	We would like to also introduce Exhibit 2, which
19		is the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Andrew Frey,
20		on behalf of Condor Holdings, LLC, that I refer
21		to as "Condor", that Mr. Austin is adopting,
22		Bates Number is 001 through 008. Do you have any
23		changes or additions to his testimony?
24	A	No, I do not.

1QIs it true and correct to the best of your knowledge and belief?3AYes, it is.4QWith that in mind, do you adopt and swear to it today?5AYes, I do.7QWe also have Exhibit 3, which are the attachments to the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Mr. Frey, Bates Numbers 001 through 093. Do you have any changes or additions to the attachments?11ANo, I do not.12QIs the information in the attachments true and correct to the best of your knowledge and belief?14AYes, it is.15QWe turn now to Exhibit 5, certain Condor16Holdings' responses to Set 1 data requests17propounded by the New Hampshire Department of18Energy, specifically Responses 1-03, 1-04, 1-06, 1-07, and 1-18, all noting "Timothy Austin" as the witness. Was this material prepared by you or under your supervision?22AYes, it was.23QDo you have any changes or additions?24ANo, I do not.			
 A Yes, it is. Q With that in mind, do you adopt and swear to it today? A Yes, I do. Q We also have Exhibit 3, which are the attachments to the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Mr. Frey, Bates Numbers 001 through 093. Do you have any changes or additions to the attachments? A No, I do not. Q Is the information in the attachments true and correct to the best of your knowledge and belief? A Yes, it is. Q We turn now to Exhibit 5, certain Condor Holdings' responses to Set 1 data requests propounded by the New Hampshire Department of Energy, specifically Responses 1-03, 1-04, 1-06, 1-07, and 1-18, all noting "Timothy Austin" as the witness. Was this material prepared by you or under your supervision? A Yes, it was. Q Do you have any changes or additions? 	1	Q	Is it true and correct to the best of your
 4 Q With that in mind, do you adopt and swear to it today? 6 A Yes, I do. 7 Q We also have Exhibit 3, which are the attachments to the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Mr. Frey, Bates Numbers 001 through 093. Do you have any changes or additions to the attachments? 11 A No, I do not. 12 Q Is the information in the attachments true and correct to the best of your knowledge and belief? 14 A Yes, it is. 15 Q We turn now to Exhibit 5, certain Condor Holdings' responses to Set 1 data requests propounded by the New Hampshire Department of Energy, specifically Responses 1-03, 1-04, 1-06, 1-07, and 1-18, all noting "Timothy Austin" as the witness. Was this material prepared by you or under your supervision? 12 A Yes, it was. 13 Q Do you have any changes or additions? 	2		knowledge and belief?
 today? A Yes, I do. We also have Exhibit 3, which are the attachments to the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Mr. Frey, Bates Numbers 001 through 093. Do you have any changes or additions to the attachments? A No, I do not. Q Is the information in the attachments true and correct to the best of your knowledge and belief? A Yes, it is. Q We turn now to Exhibit 5, certain Condor Holdings' responses to Set 1 data requests propounded by the New Hampshire Department of Energy, specifically Responses 1-03, 1-04, 1-06, 1-07, and 1-18, all noting "Timothy Austin" as the witness. Was this material prepared by you or under your supervision? A Yes, it was. Q Do you have any changes or additions? 	3	A	Yes, it is.
 A Yes, I do. Q We also have Exhibit 3, which are the attachments to the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Mr. Frey, Bates Numbers 001 through 093. Do you have any changes or additions to the attachments? A No, I do not. Q Is the information in the attachments true and correct to the best of your knowledge and belief? A Yes, it is. Q We turn now to Exhibit 5, certain Condor Holdings' responses to Set 1 data requests propounded by the New Hampshire Department of Energy, specifically Responses 1-03, 1-04, 1-06, 1-07, and 1-18, all noting "Timothy Austin" as the witness. Was this material prepared by you or under your supervision? A Yes, it was. Q Do you have any changes or additions? 	4	Q	With that in mind, do you adopt and swear to it
7 Q We also have Exhibit 3, which are the attachments to the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Mr. Frey, Bates Numbers 001 through 093. Do you have any changes or additions to the attachments? 11 A No, I do not. 12 Q Is the information in the attachments true and correct to the best of your knowledge and belief? 14 A Yes, it is. 15 Q We turn now to Exhibit 5, certain Condor 16 Holdings' responses to Set 1 data requests 17 propounded by the New Hampshire Department of 18 Energy, specifically Responses 1-03, 1-04, 1-06, 19 1-07, and 1-18, all noting "Timothy Austin" as 10 the witness. Was this material prepared by you or under your supervision? 22 A Yes, it was. 23 Q Do you have any changes or additions?	5		today?
 to the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Mr. Frey, Bates Numbers 001 through 093. Do you have any changes or additions to the attachments? A No, I do not. Q Is the information in the attachments true and correct to the best of your knowledge and belief? A Yes, it is. Q We turn now to Exhibit 5, certain Condor Holdings' responses to Set 1 data requests propounded by the New Hampshire Department of Energy, specifically Responses 1-03, 1-04, 1-06, 1-07, and 1-18, all noting "Timothy Austin" as the witness. Was this material prepared by you or under your supervision? A Yes, it was. Q Do you have any changes or additions? 	6	А	Yes, I do.
 Bates Numbers 001 through 093. Do you have any changes or additions to the attachments? A No, I do not. Q Is the information in the attachments true and correct to the best of your knowledge and belief? A Yes, it is. Q We turn now to Exhibit 5, certain Condor Holdings' responses to Set 1 data requests propounded by the New Hampshire Department of Energy, specifically Responses 1-03, 1-04, 1-06, 1-07, and 1-18, all noting "Timothy Austin" as the witness. Was this material prepared by you or under your supervision? A Yes, it was. Q Do you have any changes or additions? 	7	Q	We also have Exhibit 3, which are the attachments
<pre>10 changes or additions to the attachments? 11 A No, I do not. 12 Q Is the information in the attachments true and 13 correct to the best of your knowledge and belief? 14 A Yes, it is. 15 Q We turn now to Exhibit 5, certain Condor 16 Holdings' responses to Set 1 data requests 17 propounded by the New Hampshire Department of 18 Energy, specifically Responses 1-03, 1-04, 1-06, 19 1-07, and 1-18, all noting "Timothy Austin" as 20 the witness. Was this material prepared by you 21 or under your supervision? 22 A Yes, it was. 23 Q Do you have any changes or additions?</pre>	8		to the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Mr. Frey,
 11 A No, I do not. Q Is the information in the attachments true and correct to the best of your knowledge and belief? 14 A Yes, it is. Q We turn now to Exhibit 5, certain Condor Holdings' responses to Set 1 data requests propounded by the New Hampshire Department of Energy, specifically Responses 1-03, 1-04, 1-06, 1-07, and 1-18, all noting "Timothy Austin" as the witness. Was this material prepared by you or under your supervision? 2 A Yes, it was. Q Do you have any changes or additions? 	9		Bates Numbers 001 through 093. Do you have any
12 Q Is the information in the attachments true and correct to the best of your knowledge and belief? 14 A Yes, it is. 15 Q We turn now to Exhibit 5, certain Condor Holdings' responses to Set 1 data requests propounded by the New Hampshire Department of Energy, specifically Responses 1-03, 1-04, 1-06, 1-07, and 1-18, all noting "Timothy Austin" as the witness. Was this material prepared by you or under your supervision? 22 A Yes, it was. 23 Q Do you have any changes or additions?	10		changes or additions to the attachments?
 13 correct to the best of your knowledge and belief? 14 A Yes, it is. 15 Q We turn now to Exhibit 5, certain Condor 16 Holdings' responses to Set 1 data requests 17 propounded by the New Hampshire Department of 18 Energy, specifically Responses 1-03, 1-04, 1-06, 19 1-07, and 1-18, all noting "Timothy Austin" as 20 the witness. Was this material prepared by you 21 or under your supervision? 22 A Yes, it was. 23 Q Do you have any changes or additions? 	11	A	No, I do not.
 14 A Yes, it is. 15 Q We turn now to Exhibit 5, certain Condor 16 Holdings' responses to Set 1 data requests 17 propounded by the New Hampshire Department of 18 Energy, specifically Responses 1-03, 1-04, 1-06, 19 1-07, and 1-18, all noting "Timothy Austin" as 20 the witness. Was this material prepared by you 21 or under your supervision? 22 A Yes, it was. 23 Q Do you have any changes or additions? 	12	Q	Is the information in the attachments true and
 15 Q We turn now to Exhibit 5, certain Condor 16 Holdings' responses to Set 1 data requests 17 propounded by the New Hampshire Department of 18 Energy, specifically Responses 1-03, 1-04, 1-06, 19 1-07, and 1-18, all noting "Timothy Austin" as 20 the witness. Was this material prepared by you 21 or under your supervision? 22 A Yes, it was. 23 Q Do you have any changes or additions? 	13		correct to the best of your knowledge and belief?
Holdings' responses to Set 1 data requests propounded by the New Hampshire Department of Energy, specifically Responses 1-03, 1-04, 1-06, 1-07, and 1-18, all noting "Timothy Austin" as the witness. Was this material prepared by you or under your supervision? A Yes, it was. Q Do you have any changes or additions?	14	A	Yes, it is.
<pre>17 propounded by the New Hampshire Department of 18 Energy, specifically Responses 1-03, 1-04, 1-06, 19 1-07, and 1-18, all noting "Timothy Austin" as 20 the witness. Was this material prepared by you 21 or under your supervision? 22 A Yes, it was. 23 Q Do you have any changes or additions?</pre>	15	Q	We turn now to Exhibit 5, certain Condor
Energy, specifically Responses 1-03, 1-04, 1-06, 1-07, and 1-18, all noting "Timothy Austin" as the witness. Was this material prepared by you or under your supervision? 22 A Yes, it was. 23 Q Do you have any changes or additions?	16		Holdings' responses to Set 1 data requests
19 1-07, and 1-18, all noting "Timothy Austin" as 20 the witness. Was this material prepared by you 21 or under your supervision? 22 A Yes, it was. 23 Q Do you have any changes or additions?	17		propounded by the New Hampshire Department of
20 the witness. Was this material prepared by you 21 or under your supervision? 22 A Yes, it was. 23 Q Do you have any changes or additions?	18		Energy, specifically Responses 1-03, 1-04, 1-06,
<pre>21 or under your supervision? 22 A Yes, it was. 23 Q Do you have any changes or additions?</pre>	19		1-07, and 1-18, all noting "Timothy Austin" as
22 A Yes, it was. 23 Q Do you have any changes or additions?	20		the witness. Was this material prepared by you
23 Q Do you have any changes or additions?	21		or under your supervision?
	22	A	Yes, it was.
24 A No, I do not.	23	Q	Do you have any changes or additions?
	24	A	No, I do not.

1	Q	Is the information true and correct to the best
2		of your knowledge and belief?
3	A	Yes, it is.
4	Q	And we also have one more exhibit, Exhibit 15,
5		which is the Prefiled Direct Testimony of Mr.
6		Timothy Austin, on behalf of Condor Holdings,
7		Bates Numbers 001 to 003. Was this material
8		prepared by you or under your supervision?
9	A	Yes, it was.
10	Q	Do you have any changes?
11	A	No, I do not.
12	Q	With that in mind, do you adopt and swear to it
13		today?
14	A	Yes, I do.
15	Q	And will you confirm that this material is true
16		and correct to the best of your knowledge and
17		belief?
18	A	Yes, I can.
19		MS. MALMQUIST: Thank you.
20		Commissioners, the witness is now available for
21		cross-examination.
22		CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you.
23		[Cmsr. Chattopadhyay and Cmsr. Simpson
24		conferring.]

1 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Just to be sure, 2 is there any objection to the witness adopting 3 the other testimonies? 4 MS. BAILINSON: Thank you, 5 Mr. Commissioner. The Department has no 6 objection. 7 MR. McHUGH: No objection. 8 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you. 9 Okay. So, let's begin with 10 Consolidated, do you have any cross-examination? 11 MR. McHUGH: No, sir. CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: How about DOE? 12 13 MS. BAILINSON: The Department has no 14 cross-examination. Thank you. 15 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Let's go to 16 Commissioner Simpson. 17 CMSR. SIMPSON: Thank you for being 18 here, Mr. Austin. BY CMSR. SIMPSON: 19 20 So, the main criteria that we have to ensure is 0 21 that the Company has the technical, managerial, 2.2 and operational capabilities to continue to serve 23 in the capacity of the Licensee. It's my 24 understanding that operationally, managerially,

	I	
1		technically nothing is changing. Is that your
2		understanding as well?
3	A	That is correct. Though, when you say
4		"technically", we are making continued
5		investments in fiber throughout the State of New
6		Hampshire. So, that would be an upgrade in, I
7		would say, the technical capabilities of the
8		network.
9		But to your more broader your
10		broader point, nothing else is changing.
11	Q	So, that will enhance the technical ability of
12		Consolidated here in New Hampshire?
13	A	That is correct.
14	Q	And can you share with us Searchlight's overall
15		portfolio of investments, share with us a little
16		bit more about who you are, and your interest in
17		doing business in New Hampshire?
18	А	Sure. So, Searchlight is a private investment
19		firm. It was founded in, roughly, 2010 or 2011.
20		And we have made several investments in the
21		residential broadband space, and in the
22		communication space more broadly. We also invest
23		in a few other industries, which I'll mention in
24		just a moment. But residential broadband is a

1 core investment theme for our firm. 2 Across our pending investment in 3 Consolidated, along with our investments in Ziply 4 Fiber, which is very similar to Consolidated --5 [Court reporter interruption.] 6 CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS: 7 Α -- Ziply Fiber, Z-i-p-l-y, across these 8 investments, and a few others, I believe we have 9 invested nearly two and a half billion dollars of 10 equity capital into these types of businesses. 11 And our investment thesis is that there is a 12 compelling financial opportunity and strategic 13 opportunity to invest behind these businesses. 14 To upgrade their networks to fiber, thereby 15 enhancing our competitive positioning, and 16 allowing them to bring more competition to the 17 broadband market. Providing the communities they 18 serve, the customers they serve, greater access 19 to choice for competitive products. 20 And, as an investment firm, we believe 21 that these companies, once upgraded, can 2.2 recapture a market share, thereby creating a 23 compelling investment opportunity for Searchlight 24 and Searchlight's limited partners, our investors

1		who we have obligations to.
2	BY C	MSR. SIMPSON:
3	Q	And would you be able to share your perspective
4		on the distinction between your legacy between
5		the legacy copper investment, as well as the new
6		fiber investment?
7		You know, certainly, there are still
8		communities here in New Hampshire that depend on
9		the legacy systems. Would love access, more
10		access to high-speed Internet through fiber
11		connectivity.
12		With that being said, you know, that
13		doesn't exist today. We all want to get there.
14		Can you share your perspective on maintaining
15		that quality of service for the legacy systems,
16		and then the forward look to enhance connectivity
17		throughout the state, in areas that are rural and
18		not as densely populated?
19	А	Sure. These companies, of course, have
20		regulatory obligations under in some cases it's
21		called "COLR", in other places it's called
22		"POLR", to maintain those services. And it
23		would be our intention to make sure that we are
24		doing everything we can to make sure we're in

1 compliance with all of those rules and 2 regulations. 3 More broadly, there is significant 4 funding from federal and state governments to 5 help, I'll say, "subsidize" some of the 6 investments in these rural areas. That would be 7 under the BEAD Program, as an example, and 8 various state grants. I know that the Company, 9 to date, has focused heavily on those programs, 10 and won significant subsidies, and has built 11 significant amounts of fiber in rural communities 12 throughout Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont, including significant portions in New Hampshire. 13 14 Our intention is to seriously evaluate 15 those options going forward. And, to the extent 16 we can find it both financially and strategically 17 attractive, we intend to pursue those 18 opportunities. 19 Okay. And do you see any managerial changes Q 20 happening within the New Hampshire subsidiaries 21 that do business here? Do you see oversight 2.2 changing? Or, do you see that Consolidated, as 23 an enterprise, will continue to operate as it has 24 in the past?

	i	
1	A	It will continue I'll be specific.
2	Q	Please.
3	A	We do not anticipate any specific changes at this
4		time. We, of course, in partnership with the
5		Management Team, will continue to manage the
6		business on a day-to-day basis. So, there could
7		be, ordinary course, arrivals and departures of
8		individuals. But there's nothing specifically
9		planned at this time.
10		I would further say that, again, our
11		anticipation is to invest more capital into the
12		Company. And, so, I view that as kind of a
13		benefit of the transaction, and that's something
14		that would be changing. Because, today, absent
15		the transaction that we're talking about, the
16		Company does have very limited access to capital.
17		And, so, the addition of that capital will allow
18		them to reaccelerate their investment in building
19		out fiber to the communities that they serve.
20	Q	I would agree. That sounds beneficial.
21		Would you be able to walk us through
22		the organizational charts, as identified in
23		Exhibit 3? There's the pre- and post-merger,
24		pre-closing.

F		
1	А	I'd be happy to.
2	Q	Thank you.
3	А	I find with these technical charts, it's
4		sometimes easier to bring it to a higher level,
5		and then dive back down into the details.
6	Q	Thank you.
7	A	So, today, Consolidated Communications is a
8		publicly traded company, and it has public
9		shareholders, in addition to Searchlight, and its
10		co-investors being a shareholder of the Company.
11		As you can see from the first page of
12		Exhibit 3, the public shareholders own roughly
13		66 percent of the Company, and Searchlight owns
14		roughly 34 percent of the Company, "33.8" is the
15		number on the page.
16		Upon closing, assuming closing happens,
17		Searchlight and British Columbia Investment
18		Management, or BCI, will own all of the common
19		stock of the Company, and it will cease to be a
20		publicly traded company. You can see this, I
21		believe, on Page 2 of Exhibit 3.
22		Before diving into the detail of
23		Page 2, I think the conceptual point is
24		Searchlight and BCI will be the owners of

1		Consolidated and own 100 percent of the Company.
2	Q	Okay. That makes sense. Thank you.
3	A	And the vehicle, or the entity that Searchlight
4		and BCI, through which they will own the Company,
5		is Condor Holdings. And you can see that, if
6		you're looking at Page 2?
7	Q	Yes.
8	A	Roughly midway through the page,
9	Q	Uh-huh.
10	A	in between the dash line that connects
11		"Searchlight III CVL" to "Consolidated
12		Communications Holdings", right between that
13		you'll see "Condor Holdings, LLC", the Delaware
14		entity.
15	Q	Yes. The intermediary entities, it would be
16		helpful for me if I just understood what the
17		purpose of those vehicles were. I'm hoping you
18		might be able to explain that to us? Such as the
19		"SCP Aggregator"?
20	A	Sure.
21	Q	And, then, the subsequent "Searchlight, LLC" and
22		"Condor, LLC"? What's the purpose of those
23		vehicles?
24	A	So, I cannot comment to all of the nuances of tax

1		and legal structuring. I would need to call upon
2		our lawyers and our tax advisors to help with
3		that.
4	Q	Fair enough. Just at a high level.
5	A	Yes. Yes, of course. But, at a high level, the
6		SCP Aggregator entity is used to aggregate
7		investment funds from Searchlight's
8		Searchlight's fund, along with certain of our
9		co-investors.
10		So, Searchlight has a private equity
11		fund, Fund Number III, I believe, has roughly
12		\$3.4 billion of cumulative capital commitments.
13		That fund is making an investment in
14		Consolidated. Searchlight also has other limited
15		partners who are co-investing in the transaction
16		alongside Searchlight. All of those funds shall
17		be pooled in the Aggregator vehicle, hence the
18		term "Aggregator", which then invests into an
19		existing entity, Searchlight III CVL, LLC, which
20		I believe, again, please forgive me if I get some
21		of the details slightly incorrect, but I believe
22		that is the existing investment entity that was
23		formed somewhere around 2020 to make
24		Searchlight's initial investment into

1		Consolidated.
2	Q	Okay.
3	A	So, I believe for well, I won't comment on
4		things I don't know.
5	Q	Uh-huh.
6	A	I know there are legal and tax reasons to do the
7		Aggregator, and then the existing investment
8		vehicle, that make it just easier and more
9		efficient from a structuring perspective.
10	Q	Okay. Thank you. And, then, the dash line that
11		runs between Searchlight III CVL and Consolidated
12		Communications Holdings of Delaware, what is that
13		grouping? Is Condor Holdings, LLC, included
14		within that? Is that just representative of
15		British Columbia Investment Management Company's
16		interest?
17	А	I'd prefer to take that as, I believe, a
18		supplemental data request.
19		CMSR. SIMPSON: Well, that's fine.
20		We'll leave it there. I understand that, perhaps
21		there are tax and legal purposes. And you're, I
22		believe, neither a CPA, nor a lawyer. So,
23		WITNESS AUSTIN: I am not. I am
24		neither of those things.

1	CMSR. SIMPSON: Okay. We'll leave it
2	there. Thank you very much, Mr. Austin. That's
3	all I have, Commissioner Chattopadhyay.
4	WITNESS AUSTIN: Thank you.
5	CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you.
6	MS. MALMQUIST: Mr. Simpson?
7	CMSR. SIMPSON: Yes.
8	MS. MALMQUIST: Are you leaving it as a
9	supplemental request, or are you all set?
10	CMSR. SIMPSON: No. No supplemental
11	request.
12	MS. MALMQUIST: Thank you.
13	CMSR. SIMPSON: Thank you.
14	CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Perhaps I'm going
15	to maybe I should ask the question about the
16	dash line to the other, you know, others here, if
17	they understood it, maybe they can opine on that?
18	But I can do that later. Let's go to
19	questions for you.
20	BY CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY:
21	Q So, you heard me have a discussion previously was
22	conceptual, at a conceptual level. Obviously,
23	you are a private, you know, funding source. So,
24	you know, yet I want you to take that hat off,

1		and conceptually tell me what are the pros and
2		cons of public versus private funding?
3	A	Just broadly, as a conceptual matter, or
4		specifically related to Consolidated and Condor?
5	Q	No. I'm asking as a conceptual matter. So, you
6		don't need to, you know, talk about this
7		particular transaction. So, I'm trying to
8		understand the concepts.
9	A	Sure. Happy to. So, private funding can often
10		be, I'll say, more tailored and more nimble, in
11		its ability to get capital into situations where
12		capital is needed.
13		So, and I'll actually use Consolidated
14		as an example. It requires, I think, deep
15		inspection of the company and its opportunities
16		to support a multibillion dollar investment in
17		building out a network. To build the conviction
18		necessary to put that amount of capital behind a
19		company is significant, and it has long-term
20		pay-off or returns. They won't necessarily show
21		up next quarter, next month, even in a year. It
22		can be a multiyear investment program that will
23		require the investment of capital for many years
24		before you start, as an investor, to see returns.

1	Private capital is generally known to
2	be colloquially "more patient", and look to the
3	longer term for investment returns versus the
4	next quarter. So, that's a common and, I think,
5	commonly understood benefit of private capital
6	versus public capital.
7	You'll often hear people talk about
8	public shareholders always looking for
9	performance the next quarter, and not looking at
10	thinking long-term investments for the benefit of
11	the company over the long term. Private capital
12	has the ability to do that.
13	Consolidated is, in fact, a good
14	example of that, because building out fiber
15	networks is a multiyear process, at a minimum.
16	And, then, seeing the returns on that investment
17	take years upon that. And the reason for that
18	is, it takes a while to build, well, it takes
19	them a while to plan, secure permitting, build
20	the network, and then organize a sales and
21	marketing effort to go win customers, and connect
22	them to the network, and start actually
23	generating revenue and cash flow.
24	So, I think Consolidated, and its

1

2

3

efforts to investment in its fiber network, are actually very good examples where private capital can be very helpful.

4 The last thing I would say is, 5 investment in what's known as "fiber-to-home", or 6 "residential broadband", fiber-based residential 7 broadband has been a significant investment 8 theme, I would say, over the past roughly five 9 years, maybe since 2020. And, in my experience, 10 both investing in this sector, and being a guite 11 interested observer of the investment landscape 12 in and around this sector, the preponderance of 13 the capital that has been invested has all been 14 private capital. I have seen very -- there's 15 been limited public capital raised to invest in 16 this sector. It would only be companies like 17 AT&T probably being the best example, that is a 18 public company using internally-generated cash 19 flow, and not third-party capital, to make 20 investments to build out their networks. 21 So, I believe it's overwhelming private 2.2 capital that has supported this investment. And

I'd be remiss if I didn't also comment on the capital that federal and state governments have

1put into the sector through the Connect America2Funds I and II, the Rural Digital Opportunity3Fund, and now the BEAD Fund, along with all the4state support that's also been invested in the5sector.6QQAgain, conceptually, what can be the downside of7private funding? And I'm not talking8specifically about this transaction. And what I9meant was comparably, so between public and10private?11A12often, depending on the scale of private capital13invested relative to the size of the company, can14seek board representation and control, are key15things that one might consider "downsides". I,16personally, don't view them as downsides. But17one might perceive those to be downsides.18Versus public shareholders, who are19widely dispersed, and, unless they have formed20big groups, often have limited their vote is21one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus22utilizing private capital from one or two large23investors, you know, they have often have			
Fund, and now the BEAD Fund, along with all the state support that's also been invested in the sector. Q Again, conceptually, what can be the downside of private funding? And I'm not talking specifically about this transaction. And what I meant was comparably, so between public and private? A A downside could be that private capital also often, depending on the scale of private capital invested relative to the size of the company, can seek board representation and control, are key things that one might consider "downsides". I, personally, don't view them as downsides. But one might perceive those to be downsides. Nersus public shareholders, who are widely dispersed, and, unless they have formed big groups, often have limited their vote is one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus utilizing private capital from one or two large	1		put into the sector through the Connect America
4 state support that's also been invested in the sector. 6 Q Again, conceptually, what can be the downside of private funding? And I'm not talking specifically about this transaction. And what I meant was comparably, so between public and private? 11 A A downside could be that private capital also often, depending on the scale of private capital invested relative to the size of the company, can seek board representation and control, are key things that one might consider "downsides". I, personally, don't view them as downsides. But one might perceive those to be downsides. 18 Versus public shareholders, who are widely dispersed, and, unless they have formed big groups, often have limited their vote is one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus utilizing private capital from one or two large	2		Funds I and II, the Rural Digital Opportunity
5 sector. 6 Q Again, conceptually, what can be the downside of private funding? And I'm not talking 8 specifically about this transaction. And what I 9 meant was comparably, so between public and 10 private? 11 A A downside could be that private capital also 12 often, depending on the scale of private capital 13 invested relative to the size of the company, can 14 seek board representation and control, are key 15 things that one might consider "downsides". I, 16 personally, don't view them as downsides. But 17 one might perceive those to be downsides. 18 Versus public shareholders, who are 19 widely dispersed, and, unless they have formed 20 big groups, often have limited their vote is 21 one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus 22 utilizing private capital from one or two large	3		Fund, and now the BEAD Fund, along with all the
6 Q Again, conceptually, what can be the downside of private funding? And I'm not talking specifically about this transaction. And what I meant was comparably, so between public and private? 11 A A downside could be that private capital also often, depending on the scale of private capital invested relative to the size of the company, can seek board representation and control, are key things that one might consider "downsides". I, personally, don't view them as downsides. But one might perceive those to be downsides. 18 Versus public shareholders, who are widely dispersed, and, unless they have formed big groups, often have limited their vote is one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus utilizing private capital from one or two large	4		state support that's also been invested in the
7 private funding? And I'm not talking 8 specifically about this transaction. And what I 9 meant was comparably, so between public and 10 private? 11 A A downside could be that private capital also 12 often, depending on the scale of private capital 13 invested relative to the size of the company, can 14 seek board representation and control, are key 15 things that one might consider "downsides". I, 16 personally, don't view them as downsides. But 17 one might perceive those to be downsides. 18 Versus public shareholders, who are 19 widely dispersed, and, unless they have formed 20 big groups, often have limited their vote is 21 one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus 22 utilizing private capital from one or two large	5		sector.
<pre>8 specifically about this transaction. And what I 9 meant was comparably, so between public and 10 private? 11 A A downside could be that private capital also 12 often, depending on the scale of private capital 13 invested relative to the size of the company, can 14 seek board representation and control, are key 15 things that one might consider "downsides". I, 16 personally, don't view them as downsides. But 17 one might perceive those to be downsides. 18 Versus public shareholders, who are 19 widely dispersed, and, unless they have formed 20 big groups, often have limited their vote is 21 one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus 22 utilizing private capital from one or two large</pre>	6	Q	Again, conceptually, what can be the downside of
9 meant was comparably, so between public and private? 11 A A downside could be that private capital also often, depending on the scale of private capital invested relative to the size of the company, can seek board representation and control, are key things that one might consider "downsides". I, personally, don't view them as downsides. But one might perceive those to be downsides. 18 Versus public shareholders, who are widely dispersed, and, unless they have formed big groups, often have limited their vote is one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus utilizing private capital from one or two large	7		private funding? And I'm not talking
10private?11A12often, depending on the scale of private capital13invested relative to the size of the company, can14seek board representation and control, are key15things that one might consider "downsides". I,16personally, don't view them as downsides. But17one might perceive those to be downsides.18Versus public shareholders, who are19widely dispersed, and, unless they have formed20big groups, often have limited their vote is21one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus22utilizing private capital from one or two large	8		specifically about this transaction. And what I
11AA downside could be that private capital also12often, depending on the scale of private capital13invested relative to the size of the company, can14seek board representation and control, are key15things that one might consider "downsides". I,16personally, don't view them as downsides. But17one might perceive those to be downsides.18Versus public shareholders, who are19widely dispersed, and, unless they have formed20big groups, often have limited their vote is21one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus22utilizing private capital from one or two large	9		meant was comparably, so between public and
12often, depending on the scale of private capital13invested relative to the size of the company, can14seek board representation and control, are key15things that one might consider "downsides". I,16personally, don't view them as downsides. But17one might perceive those to be downsides.18Versus public shareholders, who are19widely dispersed, and, unless they have formed20big groups, often have limited their vote is21one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus22utilizing private capital from one or two large	10		private?
invested relative to the size of the company, can seek board representation and control, are key things that one might consider "downsides". I, personally, don't view them as downsides. But one might perceive those to be downsides. Nersus public shareholders, who are widely dispersed, and, unless they have formed big groups, often have limited their vote is one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus utilizing private capital from one or two large	11	A	A downside could be that private capital also
14 seek board representation and control, are key 15 things that one might consider "downsides". I, 16 personally, don't view them as downsides. But 17 one might perceive those to be downsides. 18 Versus public shareholders, who are 19 widely dispersed, and, unless they have formed 20 big groups, often have limited their vote is 21 one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus 22 utilizing private capital from one or two large	12		often, depending on the scale of private capital
15 things that one might consider "downsides". I, 16 personally, don't view them as downsides. But 17 one might perceive those to be downsides. 18 Versus public shareholders, who are 19 widely dispersed, and, unless they have formed 20 big groups, often have limited their vote is 21 one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus 22 utilizing private capital from one or two large	13		invested relative to the size of the company, can
16 personally, don't view them as downsides. But 17 one might perceive those to be downsides. 18 Versus public shareholders, who are 19 widely dispersed, and, unless they have formed 20 big groups, often have limited their vote is 21 one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus 22 utilizing private capital from one or two large	14		seek board representation and control, are key
17 one might perceive those to be downsides. 18 Versus public shareholders, who are 19 widely dispersed, and, unless they have formed 20 big groups, often have limited their vote is 21 one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus 22 utilizing private capital from one or two large	15		things that one might consider "downsides". I,
Versus public shareholders, who are widely dispersed, and, unless they have formed big groups, often have limited their vote is one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus utilizing private capital from one or two large	16		personally, don't view them as downsides. But
19 widely dispersed, and, unless they have formed 20 big groups, often have limited their vote is 21 one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus 22 utilizing private capital from one or two large	17		one might perceive those to be downsides.
20 big groups, often have limited their vote is 21 one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus 22 utilizing private capital from one or two large	18		Versus public shareholders, who are
21 one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus 22 utilizing private capital from one or two large	19		widely dispersed, and, unless they have formed
22 utilizing private capital from one or two large	20		big groups, often have limited their vote is
	21		one of many, is the way I would put it. Versus
23 investors, you know, they have often have	22		utilizing private capital from one or two large
	23		investors, you know, they have often have
24 control, and their votes matter.	24		control, and their votes matter.

	I	
1	Q	When you talk about "control", in this specific
2		instance, there is nothing that's happening
3		managerially that's going to be different, right?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	Okay. Out of curiosity, I think you mentioned
6		the fiber, you know, the penetration has gone up
7		from 5 percent, to I think I remember 40 some
8		percent right now. And, then, you're expecting
9		to go expecting it to go up to 75, is that
10		what it is?
11		Or, generally, what I'm trying to get
12		at is, is there an expectation, ultimately, it's
13		going to be 100 percent? And, if so, do you have
14		a sense when?
15	A	So, I would say that we do not have specific
16		plans to get to 100 percent. There are portions
17		of the network that are, I would say,
18		unfortunately, without subsidies, uneconomic for
19		investors to support the investment to build to.
20		So, we do not have plans to ever reach 100
21		percent during our investment horizon.
22		But, if there were the right amount of
23		subsidies, it would be something that the Board
24		of the Company, and we, Searchlight, as
I		

1		investors, would seriously consider, though, I'm
2		cognizant that it would require significant
3		government support and subsidies to be able to
4		achieve and outcome such as that, given the very
5		high cost of connecting some of these homes.
6	Q	Any sense of what portion of the Consolidated
7		business would reach what percentage would it
8		be fiber, ultimately?
9	A	So, I believe, in testimony that has been given,
10		either by myself or Mr. Shultz, that we've stated
11		that we have an objective to get to roughly 75
12		percent of homes or premises passed by the
13		network being addressable by fiber. That is our
14		goal and our objective. We do not yet have all
15		of the specific plans necessary to achieve that
16		objective. But that is our goal and objective.
17		We, to be transparent, it's possible we
18		may not achieve our objective. And it is also
19		possible that we may overachieve our objective.
20		Both of those are absolutely a possibility.
21		But, if I were to step back and answer
22		your question kind of conceptually, our
23		objective, as investors for this Company, is to
24		upgrade as much of the network to fiber as is

1 strategically and financially attractive and 2 sensible. So, that is -- that is what we 3 anticipate doing. 4 0 The last question, again, going back to 5 Exhibit 3, I'm sort of giving you another 6 opportunity to, because what I see with the 7 broken line it says "indirect interest". So, it's linking Consolidated Communications and 8 9 Holdings with Searchlight. Does that ring any 10 bell? Do you have anything to add? What does 11 that mean? 12 And, if not, it's okay. I'm just --13 okay. 14 А I'd rather follow up and give you the exact 15 answer, versus potentially giving you an 16 incorrect answer. 17 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. I think 18 I'm okay. I just wanted to see whether --19 That's all I have. But let's go to 20 redirect. MS. MALMQUIST: Commissioners, may we 21 2.2 take a few minute break to talk to our witness, 23 before determining if we have any redirect? 24 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Absolutely.

1	
1	Let's take a ten minutes break.
2	(Recess taken at 10:00 a.m., and the
3	hearing reconvened at 10:15 a.m.)
4	CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Before we go to
5	the redirect, do you want to ask the additional
6	questions?
7	CMSR. SIMPSON: Well, I guess I would
8	first see, is there an update with respect to
9	some of the questions we had asked before?
10	MS. MALMQUIST: I have a redirect
11	question I have a redirect question related to
12	some of the questions before.
13	CMSR. SIMPSON: Okay. So, you have a
14	redirect question.
15	CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Please.
16	CMSR. SIMPSON: I think we have a
17	couple follow-up, before we go to redirect for
18	the witness religious.
19	BY CMSR. SIMPSON:
20	Q We were wondering whether you could speak to,
21	since Searchlight's involvement in Consolidated
22	over the past few years, when you took your first
23	33 percent position in the Company, can you speak
24	to the investment that's occurred by Consolidated

1		in New Hampshire, related to that investment?
2		So, the benefit that resulted from your initial
3		position in the Company, how that underscores
4		your complete control of the Company moving
5		forward?
6	A	I can provide some comments in response to that.
7		I do not know exactly how many homes have been
8		fiber has been extended to how many homes in New
9		Hampshire specifically.
10	Q	Uh-huh.
11	A	But, since our initial investment in 2020, the
12		Company went from less than 5 percent of its
13		network defined as "premises passed", having
14		access to fiber, to I believe we're, today, in
15		excess of 50 percent, or just thereabouts.
16		So, I would say that is the benefit.
17		And, again, I don't have the exact number. But
18		we've gone, "we" defined as "Consolidated", has
19		gone from less than maybe 100, 150,000 homes
20		passed with fiber, to in excess of a million
21		homes passed with fiber.
22		So, that's the scale of the investment
23		and the benefit that has inured since we made our
24		first investment.

1 CMSR. SIMPSON: Okay. Thank you, Mr. 2 Austin. Turn it back to you. 3 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Do you want to 4 do --5 CMSR. SIMPSON: She's going to do it in 6 redirect, I think. 7 MR. McHUGH: No, I just -- I was going to point out for the record, Commissioner 8 9 Simpson, Exhibit 12, Bates stamp 002, is a 10 Consolidated Communications Holdings' response to 11 a data request propounded by the Department of Energy. And we issued a supplemental response on 12 13 April 24, 2024. And, at the bottom of Bates 14 Page 002, it makes reference to, with the 15 Searchlight III CVL original investment, "the 16 Licensees have passed [collectively] more than 17 294,000 additional homes with fiber through 18 mid-April of 2024." 19 CMSR. SIMPSON: Thank you for that, 20 Attorney McHugh. Appreciate you directing me to 21 that. 22 MR. McHUGH: Thank you. 23 CMSR. SIMPSON: That's all I have at 24 this time, Commissioner Chattopadhyay.

1		CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you. So,
2		let's go to redirect.
3		MS. MALMQUIST: Thank you.
4		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
5	RV M	S. MALMQUIST:
6	Q	Mr. Austin, would up please turn to Exhibit 3
7		again, the organizational chart before and after
8		the transaction closes?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	And, on the second page, Bates Number 002, there
11		is a line shown on the left between
12		"Searchlight III CVL, LLC" and "CCHI". Do you
13		see that?
14	A	Yes, I do.
15	Q	Can you speak to what you understand that
16		represents?
17	A	Yes. I believe that represents the Series A
18		preferred stock that is held by Searchlight III
19		CVL, and was issued by Consolidated
20		Communications Holdings, Inc., CCHI.
21		MS. MALMQUIST: Thank you. I have no
22		further questions.
23		CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you. You
24		can go back to your seat. Thank you. You're

[WITNESS: Noonan]

1		released. And, then, we'll move on after that.		
2		WITNESS AUSTIN: Thank you very much.		
3		CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, I will let		
4	DOE proceed now, and please have your witness			
5	take the stand.			
6	MS. BAILINSON: Thank you.			
7	(Whereupon AMANDA O. NOONAN was duly			
8	sworn by the Court Reporter.)			
9		MS. BAILINSON: Good morning, Ms.		
10	Noonan.			
11		WITNESS NOONAN: Good morning.		
12	AMANDA O. NOONAN, SWORN			
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
14	BY MS. BAILINSON:			
15	Q	Please state your name and position with the		
16		Department?		
17	A My name is Amanda Noonan. I'm the Director of			
18		the Consumer Services Division at the New		
19		Hampshire Department of Energy.		
20	Q	Thank you. Have you testified before the		
21		Commission before?		
22	A Yes, I have.			
23	Q	Did you prepare the Department's testimony in		
24		this proceeding?		

	·	
1	A	Yes, I did.
2	Q	Do you have corrections or updates to make to
3		that, to your testimony, at this time?
4	A	No, I do not.
5	Q	Do you adopt your testimony as your filed as
6		"Exhibit 11" as your sworn testimony in this
7		proceeding?
8	A	Yes, I do.
9	Q	Please provide a brief summary of the content of
10		your testimony?
11	A	Certainly. Consistent with 374:30, II, the
12		Department reviewed the proposed testimony or,
13		the proposed transaction. And, based on the
14		testimony and the discovery that was conducted,
15		believes that Condor and CCHI have the requisite
16		financial, managerial, and technical expertise to
17		maintain the obligations of an ILEC, as set forth
18		in 362:8 and 372:22-p.
19		CCHI is the parent company of
20		Consolidated Communications, Inc., and is
21		indirectly owns Consolidated Communications of
22		Northern New England and Consolidated
23		Communications of Maine, the two entities
24		providing phone service in New Hampshire.
	<u>.</u>	

[WITNESS: Noonan]

1 CCI, CCNE, and CCM all possess the 2 necessary financial, managerial, and technical 3 expertise to maintain the obligations of an ILEC 4 in the state. The proposed indirect ownership --5 transfer of the indirect ownership and control of 6 CCHI subsidiaries in New Hampshire to Condor 7 Holdings does not change or otherwise impact the 8 operations or management of CCNE or CCM; will 9 improve the access to capital, as you've heard 10 earlier, which -- or, CCHI's access, which 11 improves the entities in New Hampshire's access 12 in capital to bring potential benefits to New 13 Hampshire consumers through a faster transition 14 to a fiber network than would happen absent this 15 transaction. And it moves CCHI from a publicly 16 held company, to a privately held company. 17 Accordingly, the Department recommends 18 approval of the proposed transaction. 19 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Is that all? 20 MS. BAILINSON: That's it. Yes. Thank 21 you. 2.2 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. 23 MS. BAILINSON: Thank you, Ms. Noonan. 24 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Let's qo to

[WITNESS: Noonan]

1 So, let's start with Condor first? cross. 2 MS. MALMQUIST: Thank you. Condor 3 Holdings has no questions. 4 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. Let's go 5 to Consolidated? 6 MR. McHUGH: No questions, 7 Commissioner. CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. 8 9 Commissioner Simpson. 10 CMSR. SIMPSON: Thank you. And thank 11 you, Ms. Noonan, for being here today. Just one 12 question. 13 WITNESS NOONAN: Uh-huh. BY CMSR. SIMPSON: 14 15 When you review the managerial, technical, Ο 16 financial, operational capabilities, I know you 17 work with customers every day, can you just share 18 with us generally what are the elements in their 19 business, and of the acquiring entities' business 20 that you look for in that assessment? 21 Certainly. So, we look at a variety of things, А 2.2 or I looked at a variety of things. Service to 23 retail consumers, as well as service to wholesale 24 consumers; how those portions of the management

「WI	TNESS:	Noonan

1 operations of the Company would be or would not 2 be, in this case, impacted by the transaction; 3 what changes there might be to Company 4 operations, which we saw were going to remain as 5 the status quo; and then the financial ability of 6 the Company to continue to provide service, on a 7 retail and a wholesale level, to folks in New 8 Hampshire. And saw as -- one of the things that we 9 10 see, that I think the Company is acutely aware 11 of, is the aging copper infrastructure of its 12 network in New Hampshire, and the need, in order 13 to maintain service quality levels to consumers 14 and wholesale providers in this state, of 15 transitioning that network over to a fiber 16 network. 17 And, so, this additional access to 18 capital and financial investment in New Hampshire 19 ensures the Company will be able to continue to 20 do that in the future. 21 CMSR. SIMPSON: Thank you for that, 2.2 Ms. Noonan. I'm grateful to the testimony that 23 you provided on the record, both written and here 24 today, the work that the Department does, in this

[WITNESS: Noonan]

1 case and every other one. 2 So, thank you very much. I don't have 3 any further questions. 4 WITNESS NOONAN: Thank you. 5 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you. And I 6 do not have any questions for you. 7 WITNESS NOONAN: Great. I'm glad I 8 didn't have to explain the dotted line. Thank 9 you. 10 [Laughter.] 11 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: If I went there, I would have asked you to extend it. 12 13 Thank you. You're excused. 14 WITNESS NOONAN: Thank you. 15 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: I'll let you 16 settle down, and then see. 17 So, I have a question on Exhibit 14, a 18 legal question. It is -- I know the parties have assented to the admission into evidence the 19 20 prefiled Direct Testimony of Mr. James Golden, on behalf of the International Brotherhood of 21 2.2 Electrical Workers. 23 Are you all okay that, because it's 24 part of the exhibits, that you're basically

1 saying we can review it as part of the record? 2 MR. McHUGH: Correct. 3 MS. BAILINSON: Yes, Commissioner. The 4 Department has reviewed Mr. Golden's testimony, 5 and assents to it being admitted into the record. 6 MS. MALMQUIST: As does Condor 7 Holdings. CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: 8 Thank you. So, is there anything else anyone wants 9 10 to talk about? 11 (No verbal response.) 12 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: No. Okay. 13 So, let's go to the closing statements, 14 okay. Let's start with DOE. 15 MS. BAILINSON: Okay. Thank you 16 Commissioners. 17 The Department has reviewed the Joint 18 Petitioners' filing. The Department is in the 19 position that the proposed transfer meets the 20 review -- the standard of review, at RSA 374:30, 21 II, in that CCHI and Condor, the proposed 2.2 indirect parent of Licensees, Consolidated 23 Communications of Northern New England, LLC, also 24 known as "CCNE", and Consolidated Communications

1 of Maine Company, also known as "CCM", possess 2 the necessary technical, managerial, and 3 financial capabilities to ensure that the 4 Licensees continue to maintain all obligations of 5 ILEC/ELECs set forth in RSA 362:8 and 374:22-p. 6 The Department bases its conclusion on 7 the joint filing, testimony, and discovery 8 responses, which indicate that the transaction 9 concerns the upstream transfer of ownership 10 interests, with no changes planned at the 11 operational level. And that the proposed 12 transaction will provide the operating ILECs, 13 Incumbent Local Exchange Carrier subsidiaries, 14 greater access to capital than currently exists. 15 Capital that can be used to maintaining and 16 improving CCNE and CCM networks in New Hampshire. 17 The Department recommends approval of 18 the transaction. 19 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you. Let's 20 go to Consolidated. 21 MR. McHUGH: Thank you, Commissioners. 2.2 First, I'd like to thank the Commission 23 for its time, not only today, but throughout the 24 course of the proceeding. On behalf of

1 Consolidated Communications, we also very much 2 appreciate the expedited docket in this case, 3 given the interest of timing of the closing of 4 the transaction. 5 I, too, would like to thank members of the Department of Energy, for working with the 6 7 Petitioners in the discovery, it's, again, an expedited schedule. We know there are many other 8 dockets that the State faces. There's many other 9 10 issues with other utilities that the State faces. 11 But we very much appreciate the expedited 12 discovery and motion practice schedule. 13 And, with that said, if at all 14 possible, again, understanding that you have many 15 other dockets, we would appreciate if a decision 16 could be issued in August of this year. 17 As you heard from the Department, and I 18 think it's uncontroverted in this case, RSA 19 374:30, II, governs the nature of the 20 transaction. And it requires a review of the 21 managerial, technical, and financial capability 2.2 of the surviving entities to maintain their ILEC 23 obligations set forth in RSA 362:8 and 374:22-p. 24 There's really, I think, as I would

1 summarize it anyway, on behalf of Consolidated 2 Communications, no evidence that remains in the 3 docket that would really controvert the 4 Commission from being able to make these 5 findings, and make them readily. 6 Certainly, as the Commission pointed 7 out, Exhibit 14 has been admitted, or assuming the Commission admits it, but that has been 8 agreed to, in terms of not an objection or assent 9 by the International Brotherhood of Electrical 10 11 Workers. And, while Mr. Golden submitted 12 prefiled testimony, he's clearly not here today 13 to express any concerns and answer any questions. 14 And, so, there's really no details behind it. 15 And you have the prefiled Rebuttal 16 Testimony of Mr. Shultz, which I think explains 17 in a fair amount of detail, why Mr. Golden's 18 concerns really are not valid or have otherwise 19 been dealt with by the Companies. 20 We have common interest forums with the 21 Union, they're monthly. Certainly, there are 2.2 very -- or, I'm sorry, various informal 23 discussions amongst the IBEW and management to 24 resolve outstanding issues. They're ongoing,

1 they will be ongoing. They've gone back in the 2 history of time. And I don't expect them to go 3 away any time soon. That's just the nature of 4 what it is when you have a partially unionized 5 workforce. 6 So, I think all of those issues have 7 been addressed by Consolidated. And they really warrant little credence by the Commission, 8 especially in light of the fact that the IBEW 9 10 isn't here today. 11 So, with that said, you know, you summarized the testimony of Mr. Shultz, the 12 testimony of Mr. Austin. I think it's clear that 13 14 the technical capabilities of the Company are 15 enhanced. 16 The managerial capabilities of the 17 post-closing entity will be enhanced. 18 Searchlight has quite a bit of knowledge, 19 experience in this sector. They have many other 20 investments in this sector. And I don't think 21 there's any evidence to the contrary to say that 2.2 they're otherwise very skilled in the management 23 of entities such as this. 24 And financially, it's almost -- I would

1 say it's almost a no-brainer, that the Company, 2 while being taken private, is going to have now 3 access to equity capital that it otherwise would 4 not have. 5 And, as Ms. Amanda Noonan's prefiled 6 testimony recognizes, that it could bring a lot 7 of benefits to New Hampshire that otherwise would not be available to our residents, but for this 8 transaction, and this transaction proceeding to 9 10 closing. 11 So, with that said, and, again, I thank 12 you for your time. And happy to answer any 13 questions, if you have them. But, otherwise, that's my closing. 14 15 Thank you very much. 16 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Let's move to 17 Attorney Malmquist. 18 MS. MALMQUIST: Commissioners, thank 19 you for your time today. 20 Pursuant to the proposed transaction, 21 governed by the Agreement and Plan of Merger 2.2 provided in Exhibit 3, Condor Holdings, LLC, will 23 acquire all of the common stock of Consolidated 24 Communications Holdings, Inc., that I will refer

 $\{ DT 23-103 \}$ $\{ 07-23-24 \}$

1 to as "CCHI", and become the indirect parent of 2 CCHI subsidiaries, Consolidated Communications of Northern New England Company, LLC, and 3 4 Consolidated Communications of Maine Company, 5 that I will refer to as the "Licensees". 6 As discussed today by the parties and 7 our co-Petitioner, and described in the prefiled 8 testimony of Mr. Shultz and Mr. Austin, the 9 Commissioner -- or, the Commission should approve 10 the proposed transaction, and make the findings 11 requested in the Joint Petition, because Condor 12 and CCHI have the technical, managerial, and 13 financial capability that is necessary to carry 14 out the obligations of the relevant ILECs, which 15 are the Licensees. 16 This transaction, as noted by Ms. 17 Bailinson, is at the holding company level, and 18 will not affect any of the operations or legal 19 identities of these ILECs, specifically the 20 Licensees. 21 Therefore, as the prefiled evidence 2.2 demonstrates, CCHI will continue to operate the 23 Licensees, and will continue to possess the 24 necessary technical, managerial, and financial

)

65

1 capabilities, which Condor Holdings also 2 possesses, and will supplement, to maintain the 3 required obligations of an ILEC set forth in RSA 4 362:8 and RSA 374:22-p. 5 Condor Holdings agrees with the 6 testimony of the New Hampshire DOE, filed as 7 Exhibit 11, that the exhibits filed by the Joint 8 Petitioners have met the required standard for 9 approval of the transaction, and asks that the 10 Commission make the requested findings, and grant 11 such further relief as is necessary. 12 Thank you. 13 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you. 14 Is there any objection to striking 15 identification on Exhibits 1 through Exhibit 15, 16 submitted today, and accepting them into evidence as full exhibits? 17 18 MS. BAILINSON: The Department has 19 none. 20 MS. MALMQUIST: No objections by Condor 21 Holdings. 2.2 MR. McHUGH: No objections. 23 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. So, we 24 will strike identification and enter all

exhibits, 1 through 15, as full exhibits in this docket. Is there anything else we need to address? [No verbal response.] CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Given that this case was submitted in its entirety today, we will cancel the second day of this hearing, which was scheduled for tomorrow, July 24th, 2024. Thank you, everyone. We are adjourned. (Whereupon the hearing was adjourned at 10:35 a.m.)